## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Tena R. Turman,	)
Plaintiff,	) Civil Action No.: 6:16-01331-JMC
Fiamum,	)
v.	ORDER
Nancy A. Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of Social Security Administration, <sup>1</sup>	) ) )
Defendant.	) )
	)

This matter is before the court upon review of Magistrate Judge Kevin McDonald's Report and Recommendation ("Report") (ECF No. 25), filed on July 18, 2017, recommending that the Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff's claim for disability insurance benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act be affirmed.

The Magistrate Judge's Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(a) for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court, which has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. See Matthews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made. Diamond v. Colonial Life and Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005). However, neither party has filed any objections to the Report within the allotted time. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d), Nancy A. Berryhill is substituted for Carolyn Colvin as the named defendant because she became the Acting Commissioner of Social Security on January 23, 2017.

In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report, this court is not required to

provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199

(4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct

a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the

record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.</u>, 416

F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Furthermore,

failure to file specific written objections to the Report results in a party's waiver of the right to

appeal from the judgment of the district court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case, the court finds the Report

provides an accurate summary of the facts and law and does not contain clear error. The court

**ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 25), and **AFFIRMS** the

Commissioner's decision.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge

J. Michelle Child

August 7, 2017

Columbia, South Carolina

2